

# Workshop “Fab Labs and Sustainable Development Goals; The Next Round”

*FAB15 – 15th Annual International Fab Lab Conference*

*ElGouna / Cairo, Egypt, 28 July – 4 August 2019*

Facilitators: Pieter van der Hijden (The Netherlands/Suriname) with

- A. Nagwa ELnwishy (Egypt)
- B. Vaneza Caycho Ñuflo (Peru), Beno Juarez (Peru),
- C. Enrico Bassi (Italy)
- D. Ted Hung (Taiwan)

Contact: [pvdh@sofos.nl](mailto:pvdh@sofos.nl)

## Evaluation by participants

---

Evaluation of this meeting:

1. What was good?
2. What could we improve?
3. Which other suggestions do you have?

1. New knowledge and  
instruction +

2. more-precised question

TRANSLATE THE

PRESENTATION.

PLEASE MAKE TIME TO  
DISCUSS.

Good Workshop.

sqQ1- MDB: section

sqQ2- More Ex and  
show Cases

sqQ3- you need more Time  
in the next time  
May. 4h

3. ~~I think~~ I think you need to give us  
more specific examples.

→ Thanks ...

① How to incorporate  
SDG into your  
projects

② We ~~can~~ should  
form a good network

③

## Evaluation

- ① Sharing Knowledge between team members
- ② Tools needed to achieve the SDG Goals with details
- ③ Best wishes, 😊

1 - ALL parts was good

2 - in terms of working with  
MIDPs it need to be improved  
by adding another ways of  
funding and financing -

3 - no more suggestions -



→ need to be in more details

→ very good instructor

→ very good material

= what was good?

How to apply and use SDG  
map

= to be improved?

- more time

= suggestion?

Examples of labs who  
applied SDGs and how they  
run labs.

It's a great workshop but it needs  
more time 2 hour is not enough  
at all

2- the need to have context (country for example)  
to ~~read~~ have more understanding

1. It's great to get together as a group and look at these issues.
2. There is far too much to address here than could be done in this period of time.
3. Look at a wider range of funding and address explicitly why Fab labs should participate in this process.

Feedback:

regarding FABx event:

Always keep the 1<sup>st</sup> workshop  
about Identify the SDG's ~~tech~~  
by your fablab.

(because you have New Maker <sup>each</sup> <sub>year</sub>)

The bank part should  
be a separate workshop

—————o—————

1. Content was good and the dynamic thought to guide the meeting simulating the process was nice.

2. More facilitation would be helpful as time was short and there were also technical issues.

3. Really nice! Just more clear instructions could help!

I'm glad to discover  
SDG's this way at this  
moment.

Explanations are good  
and I'm confident they  
will even get better.

Fab Labs have to be  
purposefull!

The time for ~~a~~ group discussion  
is a little bit short.

perfector issue is really affecting the  
understanding about current topic or  
content.

But overall was great discussion  
and learn a lot from other labs.

It would be great if ~~group~~ group  
labs with similar situation  
(etc. labs in schools/upo/association)  
together can get more focused  
result.



① awareness of the  
SDA, and the reflect  
on the subject

② I think is too much  
to do in too little time  
since we do not have  
all the background  
needed.

③ May be make it a  
little less wide.

1/  
The Workshop gave  
me a chance to think  
about what is SDGs,  
~~also think~~

2. I think it is better  
to take more time.  
(about 3 hours or)  
more

3. I wanted to know  
what is the difference  
between SDGs and Millenium  
Development Goals

①

It's good to learn about the resources and procedures.

② & ③

It ~~is~~ would be better if some successful proposals & their follow-up operation as well as management can be shared — to provide a clearer perspective.

+ 1 ⑤

1. Interesting approach
2. The presentation time was not adequate
3. Practical case examples / experiences can be shared

- Interesting lessons on  
project development for  
sustainable development  
goals

- Requires different  
skills different from  
the technical expertise

1) What was good?

The explanation and  
the open planetary  
discussion.

2) What could we improve?

Another room. The table  
discussion was too  
noisy and people  
~~started~~ started to discuss  
one to one

3) Which other suggestion  
do you have?

The facilitators  
seemed sometimes  
surprised  
what was presented  
Better communication  
in advance.

Jan Diek and Berge